

CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN

Daryl E. Martin, Esq. (6735)

Laura J. Wolff, Esq. (6869)

7440 W. Sahara Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

Telephone: (702) 255-1718

Facsimile: (702) 255-0871

Email: dem@cjmlv.com, ljw@cjmlv.com

*Attorneys for Glaziers Trusts***UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

The Trustees of the Glazing Health and Welfare Fund, Southern Nevada Glaziers and Fabricators Pension Trust Fund; Painters, Glaziers and Floorcoverers Joint Apprenticeship and Journeyman Training Trust; Painters, Glaziers and Floorcoverers Safety Training Trust Fund; Painters and Glaziers Market Recovery Fund; Southern Nevada Painters and Decorators and Glaziers Labor-Management Cooperation Committee Trust; Painters and Allied Trades Labor-Management Cooperation Initiative; Glaziers Industry Promotion Fund; International Painters and Allied Trades Industry Pension Trust Fund; IUPAT District Council 16, Glaziers, Architectural Metal and Glassworkers' Local Union 2001; Local 2001 Political Action Fund; Political Action Together Fund,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Raydeo Enterprises, Inc., a Georgia Corporation; Suretec Insurance Company, a Texas surety; Mortenson-Mccarthy Las Vegas Stadium, a Joint Venture, a general partnership; M A Mortenson Company, a Minnesota Corporation; McCarthy Building Companies, Inc., a Missouri Corporation; United States Fire Insurance Company, a Delaware Corporation; John Does I-XX, inclusive; and Roe Entities I-XX, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01795-KJD-NJK

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION
TO EXTEND TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINT****(SECOND REQUEST)**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, by and through their
2 undersigned counsel of record, pursuant to LR IA 6.1, that Defendants Raydeo
3 Enterprises, Inc., Mortenson-McCarthy Las Vegas Stadium, M A Mortenson
4 Company, McCarthy Building Companies, Inc., Suretec Insurance Company, and
5 United States Fire Insurance Company shall have up to and including **December**
6 **30, 2020** within which to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

7 Good cause exists to extend the time within which to file a responsive
8 pleading because the parties are actively engaged in settlement negotiations to
9 resolve this case in its entirety. The requested extension will provide the parties
10 with the opportunity to finalize their negotiations. This is the second stipulation to
11 extend the time by which Defendants must answer the complaint, as the first
12 extension granted the Defendants until **December 10, 2020** to answer; however,
13 productive settlement negotiations remain ongoing

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: December 10, 2020

16 
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///